

a Si gel column eluting with CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (10:1) to give compound **1** (6.5 mg). Fraction 12 (0.8 g) was further chromatographed on a Si gel column eluting with CH₂Cl₂-MeOH (5:1) to give compound **2** (2.5 mg).

(2R)-2-(2,3,6-Tribromo-4,5-dihydroxybenzyl)-cyclohexanone (1): amorphous white powder; $[\alpha]_D^{23} +7.27^\circ$ (*c* 0.11, MeOH); ORD (*c* 2.0 × 10⁻², MeOH) $[\theta]$ (nm) -2500 (222), 0 (224), +15 000 (228); UV λ_{\max} (MeOH) (log ϵ) 236 (s, 4.09), 260 (s, 3.34), 287 (s, 3.30), 296 (3.41) nm; IR (KBr) ν_{\max} 3486, 2932, 1702, 1664, 1459, 1392, 1328, 1258, 1247, 1179, 1157, 1127, 903, 862, 695 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 1.57 (1H, td, *J* = 12.1, 3.3 Hz, H-4), 1.61 (1H, td, *J* = 12.6, 3.1 Hz, H-3), 1.70 (1H, td, *J* = 13.2, 3.6 Hz, H-5), 1.85 (1H, d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, H-4), 1.89 (1H, m, H-3), 2.08 (1H, septet, *J* = 2.9 Hz, H-5), 2.39 (1H, td, *J* = 9.4, 5.6 Hz, H-6), 2.48 (1H, d, *J* = 9.4 Hz, H-6), 2.80 (1H, m, H-2), 3.19 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.5, 10.4 Hz, H-7), 3.50 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.5, 3.8 Hz, H-7); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 25.3 (C-4), 27.8 (C-5), 32.7 (C-3), 36.9 (C-7), 42.0 (C-6), 50.1 (C-2), 112.1 (C-2'), 112.9 (C-3'), 117.5 (C-6'), 132.5 (C-1'), 140.4 (C-4'), 140.6 (C-5'), 211.7 (C-1); HRFABMS, see text.

2,3,6-Tribromo-4,5-dihydroxybenzyl alcohol (2): amorphous white powder; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 300 MHz) δ 4.99 (2H, s, H-7); ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 75 MHz) δ 74.5 (C-7), 114.2 (C-2), 115.2 (C-3), 119.7 (C-6), 129.4 (C-1), 144.6 (C-4), 146.6 (C-5).

DPPH Radical Scavenging Effect. The DPPH radical scavenging effect was evaluated according to the method first employed by Blois.⁹ A methanol solution (4 mL) of varying sample concentrations (1.5–45 μ M) was added to 1.0 mL

DPPH methanol solution (1.5 × 10⁻¹ M). After mixing gently and leaving for 30 min at room temperature, the optical density was measured at 520 nm using a spectrophotometer. The antioxidant activity of each sample was expressed in terms of IC₅₀ (μ g/mL or μ M required to inhibit DPPH radical formation by 50%) and calculated from the log-dose inhibition curve.

Acknowledgment. The high-resolution NMR (600 MHz) and FABMS spectra were provided by the Korea Basic Science Institute. This work was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries.

References and Notes

- (1) Yamada, Y. *Sci. Pap. Inst. Algolo. Res. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Imp. Univ.* **1941**, *2*, 195–215.
- (2) Kurata, K.; Amiya, T. *Phytochemistry* **1980**, *19*, 141–142.
- (3) Kurihara, H.; Mitani, T.; Kawabata, J.; Takahashi, K. *Fish. Sci.* **1999**, *65*, 300–303.
- (4) Kurata, K.; Amiya, T. *Chem. Lett.* **1980**, 279–280.
- (5) Park, H. J.; Choi, J. S.; Chung, H. Y. *J. Korean Fisheries Soc.* **1998**, *31*, 927–932.
- (6) Park, H. J.; Chung, H. Y.; Kim J.; Choi, J. S. *J. Fish. Sci. Tech.* **1999**, *2*, 1–7.
- (7) Glombitza, K. W.; Stoffelen, H.; Murawski, U.; Bielaczek, J.; Egge, H. *Planta Med.* **1974**, *25*, 105–115.
- (8) Harada, N.; Nakanishi, K. *Circular Dichroic Spectroscopy-Excitation Coupling in Organic Stereochemistry*; Tokyo Kagaku Dojin: Tokyo, 1982.
- (9) Blois, M. S. *Nature* **1958**, *26*, 1199–1200.

NP0002278